

Draft for general use, please use wisely and feel free to contact us

This is a covering letter to which you can attach (if using email) or enclose in the envelope (if writing on paper) the two documents on the website, ie the Statement and the Brief. I advise that you read through those carefully so that **you understand them and the issues** if you want to argue with the council. PLEASE DO NOT EDIT IN ANY WAY THOSE DOCUMENTS.

But this draft is just suggestions you can use in your own personal letter or as a notice.

First, take a look on your own Council planning portal and find a few applications where the company on the ICNIRP compliance the name used is "Three UK Ltd" even if another company is there as well.

If it's all a mystery then either learn by yourself or ask for a group presentation from Ian who will travel anywhere in UK. Note also this all applies to UK only; other countries have their own systems.

You don't need to use all the paragraphs but the bits in red need personalising.

Dear ????? suggest Leader, Monitoring Officer, Head of Planning and your own ward councillors.

Chief Planner: "the role of planners in local government matters so much." Dec 2023

I am writing as a concerned citizen, living in the area of ?????? Council and have become aware of seeming irregularities in some planning applications for telecoms infrastructure. This may be the tip of a major fraud as I know there are similar issues in many other Councils and Local Planning Authorities.

This letter is not about whether 5G, as an addition to 4G, is a wonderful or a horrendous thing; nor about biological effects and whether they are positive or negative or both; nor about the science arguments between the ICNIRP and ICBE-EMF reports; nor even about Government policy. You, the reader, will have your own thoughts, opinions and even beliefs about those.

This is about whether most of the infrastructure installed in the past couple of decades and continuing has actually been done legally and, if it hasn't, what that means.

This is because the company name being used, sometimes as the Applicant and many more times as ICNIRP declarant, "Three UK Ltd", was dissolved in 2015 and when active was never involved in telecoms. For more detail on that, please read the *Three UK Ltd brief* sent with this **letter/Notice**. There must be questions about responsibility, liability and insurance at the very least that need answering.

The question has been raised with every Council/LPA via a series of letters from ACHES, *Adult, Child, Health & Environmental Support*, an organisation of concerned people from around the country and by others acting personally and individually within their own village, town, city and/or County.

The original discovery was given in August 2023 by **a lawyer acting for 'Hutchison' that advised "Three UK Ltd does not exist"**.

More recently, in an exchange of letters, **Mr Andrew Weavers**, Chartered Legal Executive; Head of Governance & Monitoring Officer of Colchester City Council recently wrote "*this issue with "Three UK Ltd" is not restricted to Colchester and has been **highlighted nationally**.*"(authors' highlight)

???? Council cannot claim no knowledge but what have you done with this information?

Despite the company generally known as 'three' knowing it in August 2023, at which time an employee called it an "*innocent error*" it is still using this company name in planning applications. (January 2025) Please read the **attached/enclosed** documents.

From a **sample** of a mere 20 LPAs Mr Jarvis, a director of ACHES, has listed around 600 reference numbers each where this is the case - this can be supplied so that you can check for yourself on the planning portals. Extrapolating this number over 300+ LPAs could mean there are more than 9,000 cases across the UK. (The list is still being worked on.)

Not forgetting, as noted above, the name has also been used as the **Applicant**, which raises further questions than in this letter.

Can it still be an "innocent error"?

What will ????? Council now do regarding relevant applications here and will you work with other Councils and the Chief Planning Officer at MHCLG to assess and resolve this on a national basis? I know that Mr Jarvis is ready and willing to assist.

With the numbers so far involved, and companies even up to Jan 2025 still using the "Three UK Ltd" name and Councils/LPAs still accepting it after being advised of this issue it is looking more like a deliberate act rather than an "innocent error". If this were to lead to fraud then, on Lord Denning's words "fraud vitiates all", every one should be switched off and taken down. The document *IRJ Statement*, sent with this letter, discusses that.

Mr Weavers has also given the excuse that *"It is the accepted role of a Local Planning Authority to accept documents submitted with a planning application **at face value.**"*

I think many residents would raise an eyebrow at that yet other Councils have written similar excuses for this negligence of accepting as valid applications with possibly non-valid documentation. I should note that this could be just one issue of many.

The National Planning Inspectorate (NPI) disagrees since it wrote in Feb 2024 that *"... any issues regarding certificates or declarations would be a matter that the **Council would have to deal with, as they would need to be satisfied with all of the documents before making any decisions.**"* (my highlights)

In a letter Mr Jarvis received in January 2025, one police force has written that the alleged "scale, nature, and level of corruption" seen here is more suited to a "national investigation". Surely it is incumbent on every Council to listen to their residents, start an independent investigation and link with other Councils in what could be a huge undertaking?

yours etc

All Rights Reserved